

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/918,541	08/01/2001	Gary Mishkin	MISHKIN=1	3823	
1444 7	590 05/05/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			PERRIN, JOSEPH L		
624 NINTH ST SUITE 300	REET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			1746		

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			MK_
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/918,541	MISHKIN ET AL.	
navioory riesien	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D.	1746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 27 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whic I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr ount of the fee. The app originally set in the final	on. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	CFR 1.704(b).	,	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
0. Other:		JAK 1	
		FRANKIE L. STINS PRIMARY EXAMIN	IER

Continuation of 2. NÔTE: While the newly introduced limitations to the claimed housing of independent claims 1 & 10 appear to overcom the previous art rejections over Arnal, such amendment would require further consideration and search.